



*PSA Interpretation*

The Art, Science and  
Controversy

Mark McClure, MD

Cheryl Elliott, ANP

## *1<sup>st</sup> take away message*

*Nurse practitioners have the opportunity to make a difference in prostate cancer outcomes with screening and early detection and treatment.*

## *Case presentation*

HPI: from PCP- Healthy 50 year old white male with routine screening PSA in 1993 of 3.4 Not repeated for 3 years. In 1996 his PSA was 10.7, a repeat PSA 3 weeks later was 12.

Urology referral: Biopsy revealed a *poorly* differentiated carcinoma with a Gleason grade of 9 in one core, and 7 (3+4) in others.

His DRE was normal in exams 1993-1996

## *Case presentation*

- ❖ Tumor was aneuploid (abnormal DNA)
- ❖ Bone scan with an abnormality that was not felt to be malignant.
- ❖ 9/10/96 underwent RRP (prostate 54g)
- ❖ Gleason Grade 7 (path report)
- ❖ No evidence of disease in lymph nodes
- ❖ Positive left seminal vesicle
- ❖ Positive right apical margin

## *Case presentation*

- ❖ His post-operative PSA was 6.7 (should be non-detectable)
- ❖ A rib lesion was biopsied and found to be metastatic

53 year with metastatic prostate cancer

## *Risk Factors*

- ❖ Family: Grandfather died of prostate cancer at age 76
- ❖ Nationality: Prostate cancer is *most* common in North America and northwestern Europe. It is less common in Asia, Africa, Central America, and South America.
- ❖ Diet: He has a meat-based diet, high in calories and saturated fat.

# *What is Prostate Specific Antigen?*

- ❖ 1<sup>st</sup> introduced in 1988
- ❖ Protein manufactured by prostate ductal cells
- ❖ Function is to dissolve seminal coagulum
- ❖ Made by benign and prostate cancer cells
- ❖ Cancer cells liberate 10 times as much PSA as benign cells due to lack of basement membrane

Polascik, T.J.et al. (1999) Prostate Specific Antigen: A Decade of Discovery:

What we have learned and where we are going

*Journal of Urology* 162, 293-306

# *Causes of an abnormal PSA*

- ❖ Benign Prostatic Hypertrophy
- ❖ Prostate Cancer
- ❖ Prostatitis: 4-6 weeks to normalize PSA
- ❖ Instrumentation: catheters, cystoscopy, biopsy
- ❖ Ejaculation: rarely significant
- ❖ Rectal examination: OK to check PSA after DRE

Yuan, I.J. et al. (1992) Effects of Rectal examination, prostate massage, ultrasonography and needle biopsy on serum PSA levels. *Journal of Urology* 147: 810-814

# *PSA Screening-not just a blood test*

- ❖ Digital Rectal Exam (DRE)
- ❖ Validated questionnaire AUA Symptom Score Index also called IPSS
- ❖ PSA recommendations
  - Annually for white men age 50 and older with a life expectancy of 10 years
  - Annually age 40-45 for African American men and men with 1<sup>st</sup> degree relatives with prostate cancer

Richie, J.P. (1994) Effect of patient age on early detection of prostate cancer with serum PSA.

*Urology* 42: 365-374

# *Prostate Cancer*

- ❖ Leading cause of cancer in men
- ❖ 2<sup>nd</sup> leading cause of cancer-related death
- ❖ 16% of men will develop clinical prostate cancer in their lifetime.
- ❖ 3 % of men die from prostate cancer

Carroll, P et al (2001) Prostate specific antigen best practice policy part 1: early detection and diagnosis of prostate cancer *Urology* 54: 217-224

# *PSA sensitivity and specificity*

- ❖ 67.6-80% sensitivity if PSA < 4ng/ml (normal range) [avoid false positive]
- ❖ 60-70% specificity if PSA > 4ng/ml (elevated) [avoid false negative]

Brawer, M.K. (1999) Prostate Specific Antigen: current status  
*Cancer Journal for Clinicians* 49: 264-281

## *Ways to increase sensitivity*

- ❖ Age adjusted PSA
- ❖ PSA velocity ( $>0.75$  ng/dl per year)
- ❖ Lower the threshold for normal PSA to (0-2.5 = normal) will detect 20% more CaP, but increase the false positive rate.

## *Age-adjusted PSA*

Age range	Asians	African Americans	Whites
40-49	0-2	0-2	0-2.5
50-59	0-3	0-4	0-3.5
60-69	0-4	0-4.5	0-4.5
70-79	0-5	0-5.5	0-6.5

## *Ways to increase specificity*

- ❖ Age-adjusted PSA
- ❖ Free-to-total PSA most of PSA is bound to protein in blood “free” PSA is higher in prostate cancer for reasons we do not know.
- ❖ PSA Density ratio of PSA to prostate volume (if TRUS prostate vol  $\div$  PSA  $>$ .15  $\uparrow$  CaP)

Catalona, et al (1998) Use of percentage of free PSA to enhance differentiation of prostate cancer from benign prostate diseases.

*JAMA* 279: 1542-1547

# *Total/Percentage free PSA*

*Catalona et al: (1998) JAMA 279:1542-1547*

PSA NG/ML	% Free PSA	Probability Ca
0-2	N/A	1%
2-4	N/A	15%
4.1-10	0-10%	56%
	11-15%	28%
	16-20%	20%
	21-25%	16%
	> 26%	8%
> 10	N/A	> 50%

# *When to refer to a Urology Nurse Practitioner/Urologist*

- ❖ Abnormal PSA reading –follow age adjusted chart and consider repeating the lab test
- ❖ Abnormal DRE
- ❖ Significant PSA rise ( $>0.75$  ng./ml from one test to the next)

# *Prostate Biopsy*

- ❖ PSA  $> 4$  and  $< 10$ , risk of CaP is 20-30% when 12 biopsies are taken via rectal ultrasound.
- ❖ PSA 2.5-4.0, risk of CaP is 27%
- ❖ PSA  $> 10$ , risk of CaP is 42-64%
- ❖ Comparing PSA  $< 4$  to PSA  $> 4$ , odds of finding clinically significant CaP within the prostate increases by 1.5-3 fold., and odds of extracapsular PSA increases by 3-5 fold.

Coley, CM et al (1997) Early detection of prostate cancer-Part 1 prior probability and effectiveness of tests. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 126: 394-406

# *Gleason Grading Scores*

The pathologist assigns a primary grade from 1 to 5, with 5 being the most aggressive, to the pattern occupying the greatest area of the specimen. A secondary grade is then assigned to the pattern occupying the second largest area. These two grades are added to determine the Gleason score, which ranges from 2 to 10. It is generally agreed that tumors with a Gleason score of 2 to 4 have lower biological aggressiveness, scores of 5 to 6 have an intermediate aggressiveness, and those with a Gleason score of 7-10 are biologically aggressive tumors.

# *Bone Scan Indications*

According to a Mayo Clinic study of 852 patients with newly diagnosed prostate cancer, 66% had a PSA concentration of 10.0 ng/mL and only 3 (0.8%) had a positive bone scan. Only 0.6% of men with a PSA between 10.1 and 15.0 ng/mL and 2.6% of men with a PSA between 15.1 and 20.0 ng/mL had a positive scan consistent with metastasis. Therefore, routine use of a bone scan is not required for staging asymptomatic men with clinically localized prostate cancer when their PSA is equal to or less than 20.0 ng/mL.

Oesterling, Martin, & Bergstralh, (1993).

The use of prostate-specific antigen in staging patients with newly diagnosed prostate cancer.

*JAMA*, 269, 57-60.

# *Controversy regarding PSA: The benefits*

- ❖ AUA and ACS support
- ❖ Earlier detection of prostate cancer
- ❖ 4% decrease in death rate since 1994
- ❖ Earlier detection and diagnosis find fewer high grade extracapsular tumors

# *Controversy regarding PSA: The risks*

- ❖ Detection of insignificant CaP  
(most are clinically significant)
- ❖ Unnecessary treatment  
(50% of cancers with normal DRE and PSA between 4-10 are extended outside the prostate)
- ❖ Cost to Health Care System  
(Between \$2300-\$4600 per year of life saved by screening and treatment)

Carroll, P. et al (2001)

Prostate Specific Antigen: best practice policy part 1: early detection and diagnosis of prostate cancer

*Urology* 54:217-224

# *What to tell men about prostate cancer screening*

## ❖ **Controversial**

2 prospective randomized trials are underway to provide additional guidance.

The European randomized study of screening for prostate cancer-190,000 men age 55-70 completion in 2008

In the US: Prostate, Lung, Colorectal, and Ovarian Cancer screening trial with 74,000 men: results expected in 2006

*The US preventative services task force  
do not recommend routine screening:*

*Rationale:* The USPSTF found good evidence that PSA screening can detect early-stage prostate cancer but mixed and inconclusive evidence that early detection improves health outcomes. Screening is associated with important harms, including frequent false-positive results and unnecessary anxiety, biopsies, and potential complications of treatment of some cancers that may never have affected a patient's health. The USPSTF concludes that evidence is insufficient to determine whether the benefits outweigh the harms for a screened population.

<http://www.ahcpr.gov/clinic/uspstf/uspsprca.htm>

## *Risk groups for prostate cancer*

- ❖ African American: 2 times the incidence of CaP then Caucasians.
- ❖ Family history- one 1° relative → 2-fold risk; two 1° relatives → 6-fold risk; three 1° relatives → 11-fold risk (if under age 55 → 15-fold risk).

Carter, B.S. et al (1992)

Mendelian inheritance of familial prostate cancer

*Proc National Academy of Science* 89: 3367-3371

# *PSA after treatment for Prostate Cancer*

- ❖ After radical prostatectomy it should be non detectable.
- ❖ After XRT, brachytherapy( radioactive seeds) it should drop to a nadir within 17 months and remain stable-ideally this number should be  $< 0.5\text{ng/ml}$ .
- ❖ PSA doubling time can vary between every 2 weeks to every 10 years.

## *Take away message*

- ❖ Rule #1 Consider repeating the PSA when a value is higher than expected.
- ❖ Age-adjusted PSA is a necessary consideration for your patients: 0-4 is not for everyone
- ❖ The Art: Establishing a baseline and following trends over time helps you gain confidence in reassuring your patient a high PSA value is normal for him.